# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# **Pintauer Tomislav**

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Duquesne University, 600 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15282, USA

Correspondence e-mail: pintauert@duq.edu

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.006 Å R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.126 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Bis(2,2'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2 N, N'$ )copper(I) trifluoromethanesulfonate

In the title compound,  $[Cu(C_{10}H_8N_2)_2](CF_3O_3S)$ , the Cu<sup>I</sup> ion is chelated by two 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy) ligands in a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry. The average Cu—N bond length is 2.024 (3) Å. The interligand dihedral angle is 87.5 (11)°. Weak C—H···O hydrogen bonding between the Cu<sup>I</sup> complex cation and the trifluoromethanesulfonate anion stabilizes the crystal structure.

## Comment

The  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][Y]$  compounds (where bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine;  $Y = Br^{-}, Cl^{-}, PF_{6}^{-}, ClO_{4}^{-}, BF_{4}^{-}$  etc.) are very active catalysts in atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) (Matyjaszewski & Xia, 2001; Kamigaito et al., 2001; Wang & Mattyjaszewski, 1995) and have been studied extensively utilizing a variety of spectroscopic techniques (Pintauer & Matyjaszewski, 2005; Pintauer et al., 2003, 2000). The  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][Y]$  compounds are typically prepared by mixing  $Cu^{I}Y$  or  $[Cu^{I}(CH_{3}CN)_{4}][Y]$  with two equivalents of the ligand (Pintauer & Matyjaszewski, 2005). So far, structurally characterized  $Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}$  complexes include  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][ClO_{4}]$ (Munakata et al., 1987),  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][PF_{6}]$  (Foley et al., 1984) and  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][Cu^{I}Cl_{2}]$  (Skelton et al., 1991). We have successfully isolated the title compound, (I), which is the fourth member of this family.



The crystal structure of (I) consists of  $Cu^{I}$  complex cations and trifluoromethanesulfonate anions (Fig. 1). The  $Cu^{I}$  ion is coordinated by four N atoms from two bpy ligands in a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry (Table 1). The average Cu-N bond length of 2.024 (3) Å is in agreement with those in previously characterized  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}]^{+}$  cations. The 'bite' angles in (I) are smaller than 90°, which is due to the rigid geometry of the bidentate bpy ligand.

The dihedral angle between two chelating bpy ligands usually affects the redox potential of the  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}]^{+}$  cation and consequently the stability constants of  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}]^{+}$  and  $[Cu^{II}(bpy)_{2}]^{2+}$  cations. The interligand dihedral angle of 87.5 (11)° in (I) is much higher than those found in

Received 27 January 2006 Accepted 20 February 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



#### Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I) with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids (arbitrary spheres for H atoms).



#### Figure 2

A packing diagram of (I), showing the weak C-H···O interaction (dotted lines).

 $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}]^{+}$  cited above, *viz.*  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][ClO_{4}]$  (75.2°),  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][PF_{6}]$  (44.6°) and  $[Cu^{I}(bpy)_{2}][Cu^{I}Cl_{2}]$  (76.2°).

Weak  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonding occurs between the Cu<sup>I</sup> complex cation and trifluoromethanesulfonate anion (Table 2 and Fig. 2), which stabilizes the crystal structure of (I).

# **Experimental**

Dry and degassed dichloromethane (10 ml) was added, under argon, to a Schlenk flask containing  $[Cu^{I}(CF_{3}SO_{3})]_{2} \cdot C_{6}H_{5}CH_{3}$  (0.100 g, 0.193 mmol) and 2,2'-bipyridine (0.0604 g, 0.387 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The product was washed with 2  $\times$ 10 ml of pentane and dried under vacuum to yield 0.176 g (87%) of (I). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a methanol solution at room temperature.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 2.4 - 21.1^{\circ}$  $\mu = 1.15~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 273 (2) K

Needle, red

Cell parameters from 4628

 $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$ 

#### Crystal data

[Cu(C10H8N2)2](CF3O3S)  $M_r = 524.98$ Orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$ a = 9.3749 (4) Å b = 11.6692 (5) Å c = 20.0628 (9) Å V = 2194.82 (17) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4 $D_{\rm r} = 1.589 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX-II	5247 independent reflections
diffractometer	3736 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.039$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.9^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2002)	$h = -12 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.810, \ T_{\max} = 0.920$	$k = -15 \rightarrow 15$
22333 measured reflections	$l = -26 \rightarrow 26$

# Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	w
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$	
$wR(F^2) = 0.126$	(4
S = 0.81	Δ
5247 reflections	Δ
298 parameters	A
H-atom parameters constrained	
	_

#### $= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1P)^2]$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^2$ $\rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ bsolute structure: Flack (1983), 2274 Friedel Pairs Flack parameter: 0.003 (15)

# Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

	2 034 (3)	Cu1-N3	2 004 (3)
Cu1-N2	2.005 (3)	Cu1-N4	2.046 (3)
N3-Cu1-N2	131.04 (11)	N3-Cu1-N4	81.56 (11)
N3-Cu1-N1	127.34 (12)	N2-Cu1-N4	126.56 (12)
N2-Cu1-N1	81.45 (10)	N1-Cu1-N4	113.67 (11)

# Table 2

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C2-H2\cdots O2^{i}$	0.93	2.45	3.359 (5)	165
$C8 - H7 \cdots O3^{ii}$	0.93	2.57	3.478 (5)	166

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ; (ii)  $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

H atoms were positioned geometrically (C–H = 0.93 Å) and treated with a riding model in subsequent refinement cycles. The isotropic displacement parameters were set to  $1.2U_{\rm eq}$  of the carrier atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Financial support from Duquesne University (Start-up Grant) is greatly acknowledged.

### References

Bruker (1998). SMART (Version 5.0) and SAINT (Version 6.0). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Foley, J., Tyagi, S. & Hathaway, B. J. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1-5.

Kamigaito, M., Ando, T. & Sawamoto, M. (2001). *Chem. Rev.* **101**, 3689–3746. Matyjaszewski, K. & Xia, J. (2001). *Chem. Rev.* **101**, 2921–2990.

- Munakata, M., Kitagawa, S., Asahara, A. & Masuda, H. (1987). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 60, 1927–1929.
- Pintauer, T., Jasieszek, K. & Matyjaszewski, K. (2000). J. Mass Spectrom. 35, 1295–1299.
- Pintauer, T. & Matyjaszewski, K. (2005). Coord. Chem. Rev. 249, 1155–1184.
- Pintauer, T., Reinohl, U., Feth, M., Bertagnolli, H. & Matyjaszewski, K. (2003). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 11, 2082–2094.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2002). SADABS. Version 2.03. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Skelton, B. W., Waters, A. F. & White, A. M. (1991). Aust. J. Chem. 44, 1207– 1215.
- Wang, J. S. & Mattyjaszewski, K. (1995). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 5614-5615.